Stem cells’ guided gene therapy of cancer: New frontier in personalized and targeted therapy
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Abstract

Diagnosis and therapy of cancer remain to be the greatest challenges for all physicians working in clinical oncology and molecular medicine. The grim statistics speak for themselves with reports of 1,638,910 men and women diagnosed with cancer and nearly 577,190 patients passed away due to cancer in the USA in 2012. For practicing clinicians, who treat patients suffering from advanced cancers with contemporary systemic therapies, the main challenge is to attain therapeutic efficacy, while minimizing side effects. Unfortunately, all contemporary systemic therapies cause side effects. In treated patients, these side effects may range from nausea to damaged tissues. In cancer survivors, the iatrogenic outcomes of systemic therapies may include genomic mutations and their consequences. Therefore, there is an urgent need for personalized and targeted therapies. Recently, we reviewed the current status of suicide gene therapy for cancer. Herein, we discuss the novel strategy: genetically engineered stem cells’ guided gene therapy. Stem cells have the unique potential for self-renewal and differentiation. This potential is the primary reason for introducing them into medicine to regenerate injured or degenerated organs, as well as to rejuvenate aging tissues. Recent advances in genetic engineering and stem cell research have created the foundations for genetic engineering of stem cells as the vectors for delivery of therapeutic transgenes. Specifically in oncology, the stem cells are genetically engineered to deliver the cell suicide inducing genes selectively to the cancer cells. Expression of the transgenes kills the cancer cells, while leaving healthy cells unaffected. Herein, we present various strategies to bioengineer suicide inducing genes and stem cell vectors. Moreover, we review results of the main preclinical studies and clinical trials. However, the main risk for therapeutic use of stem cells is their cancerous transformation. Therefore, we discuss various strategies to safeguard stem cell guided gene therapy against iatrogenic cancerogenesis. Defining cancer biomarkers to facilitate early diagnosis, elucidating cancer genomics and proteomics with modern tools of next generation sequencing, and analyzing patients’ gene expression profiles provide essential data to elucidate molecular dynamics of cancer and to consider them for crafting pharmacogenomics-based personalized therapies. Streamlining of these data into genetic engineering of stem cells facilitates their use as the vectors delivering therapeutic genes into specific cancer cells. In this realm, stem cells guided gene therapy becomes a promising new frontier in personalized and targeted therapy of cancer.
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Introduction

Diagnosis and therapy of cancer remain to be the greatest challenge for all of us – physicians working in clinical oncology and molecular medicine. The statistics speak for themselves with the grim reports of 1,638,910 men and women diagnosed with cancer and nearly 577,190 patients passed away due to cancer in the USA in 2012 [1-3]. These statistics can be translated into everyday experience, when one of every three patients, whom we diagnose or try to cure, dies within a year.

For practicing clinicians, who treat patients suffering from advanced cancers with contemporary systemic...
therapies, the challenge is to attain therapeutic efficacy, while minimizing side effects. Unfortunately, all systemic therapies, including chemotherapy, radiation therapy, and radio-immunotherapy, affect to some extent also healthy cells; thus cause side effects [4-26]. In treated patients, these side effects may range from nausea to tissue damage. In cancer survivors, the iatrogenic outcomes may include consequences of genomic mutations in patients themselves or their children. Therefore, there is an urgent need for the patients' personalized and the cancers' targeted therapies. Recently, we reviewed the current status and future perspectives of gene therapy for cancer [28-29]. Herein, we discuss genetically engineered stem cells guided gene therapy for cancer as a new frontier in personalized and targeted gene therapy. We provide a short summary in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Materials &amp; methods</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Piva et al.</td>
<td>MCF-7-TamR CD44+CD24-/low</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>PCR, Immunofluorescence, Western blot, FACS, Transient transfection and luciferase assay, Immunohistochemistry</td>
<td>Higher expression of Sox2 in TamR cells and higher expression of SCs</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergheton et al.</td>
<td>PC9, HCC827, MGH006, NCI-H3122, HCC-78</td>
<td>In vitro, In vivo</td>
<td>FISH, Western blot</td>
<td>ROSi positive patients with NSCLC are sensitive in crizotinib</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al.</td>
<td>A549 LCSLCs</td>
<td>In vitro, In vivo</td>
<td>Western blot, serum-free suspension sphere forming culture method, MTT assay, mtrigel invasion assay, MMP-9 activity assay</td>
<td>Casticin suppress the proliferation of LCSLCs</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al.</td>
<td>PT67.CD PT67.CD.TK HB1. F3 NSCs HFF-1</td>
<td>In vitro, In vivo</td>
<td>Migration assay, cell viability assay, NSCs engineered with double prodrug enzymes, PCR</td>
<td>Therapeutic effect of HB1.F3.CD. TK is comparable to HB1.F3.CD, double suicide gene therapy shows efficacy and eradicates NSCs</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altnar et al.</td>
<td>BM-MSCs, AT-MSCs, CDy-BM-MSCs, CDy-AT-MSCs</td>
<td>In vitro, In vivo</td>
<td>Animal experiments, stereotactic cell implantation, implantation of 5-FC osmotic pumps and of a miniosmotic pump for ThsCs delivery, MRI</td>
<td>Effective therapy of glioblastoma treated with CDy-BM-MSCs, CDy-AT-MSCs after resection</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bagci-Onder et al.</td>
<td>Gli36, Gli36-EvIII-FmC, U87MG, U251, Gli79, LN229, A172</td>
<td>In vitro, In vivo</td>
<td>Western blot, immunohistochemistry, coculture experiments, lentiviral vectors (Pico2-Fluc.mCherry, LV-S-TRAIL, GFP), viability and caspace assay</td>
<td>Effective, PI3K/mTOR inhibitor, PI-103, increase response of glioma cells in S-TRAIL, reducing tumor volume</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwon et al.</td>
<td>HB1.F3 NSCs</td>
<td>In vitro, In vivo</td>
<td>Engineering of NSCs in HB1.F3.CD, HB1. F3.CD/5-FC were cocultured with the HNSCC (SN), labeling of F3.CD cells with ferumoxides</td>
<td>Effective, HB1.F3.CD cells inhibited the growth of an HNSCC cell line in the presence of the 5-FC, with lower toxicity</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malecki et al.</td>
<td>Culture OVCAR Ascites and peritoneal washings</td>
<td>In vitro, Ex vivo</td>
<td>Genetically engineered recombinant DNases</td>
<td>Complete eradication of ovarian cancer cells</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al.</td>
<td>SKOV-3, NIH3T3, MCF-7, HeLa, ovarian cells</td>
<td>In vitro, In vivo</td>
<td>RNA extraction and reverse transcription-PCR, cell growth and migration assays</td>
<td>Effective, GESTCs expressing CD/CE, SKOV-3 with the prodrugs 5-FC or CPT-11 in the presence of HB1.F3.CD or HB1.F3.CE cells inhibits ovarian cancer cell growth</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chai et al.</td>
<td>E.coli DH-5a, plasmid pDNA3.1, plasmid pRES, Hep-2</td>
<td>In vitro, In vivo</td>
<td>Gene transfection, PT-PCR, in vitro experiments on cytoidal effect, bystander effect, detection of TNF-α in the supernatant of in vitro cell culture</td>
<td>Effective, combined gene therapy of CD/5-FC and TNF-α in hep-2 cell line, inhibit tumor cell growth and induced anti-tumor immune response in animal models</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zheng et al.</td>
<td>A2780s, HEK293</td>
<td>In vitro, In vivo</td>
<td>hpMSCs isolation and culture, hMSCs transfection and protein expression assays, hpMSCs were engineered to deliver endostatin via adenoviral transduction mediated by Lipofectamine 2000, quantification of cell proliferation and angiogenic microvesel density, alginate encapsulation, analysis of apoptosis in tumor tissues, flow cytometry</td>
<td>Effective antitumor and antimetastatic effect of hpMSCs-Ad-Endo</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Cell Type</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Key Results</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al.</td>
<td>OVK18#2, RAW 264.7</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>Synthesis of FA-PEG conjugate, FITC, mass spectrometry, RT-PCR, H NMR, Dynamic light scattering and zeta potential, siRNA recovery, ANOVA, flow cytometry, western blot, RT-qPCR</td>
<td>Effective, siRNA/FA-PEG-COL nanoparticles induces inhibition of HIF-1α and tumor proliferation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shinagawa et al.</td>
<td>hMSCs, KM12SM</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>Quantification of MSCs and phosphorylation of PDGFR-β in MSCs, immunohistochemistry, migration and proliferation assay, western blot, RT-PCR</td>
<td>Effective, imatinib inhibits tumor-tropism and growth of colon cancer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin et al.</td>
<td>HT 29 human colon cancer</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>Flow cytometry, western blot, immunohistochemistry, production of shRNA lentiviral vectors</td>
<td>Effective, CD133+ colon cancer cells are responsible for the resistance in antiangiogenic treatment, through the activation of Hsp27, MAPKAPK2, P38MAPK, PP2A anti-apoptotic signaling pathways</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al.</td>
<td>Human pancreatic adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>In vivo</td>
<td>Tissue was minced and digested with collagenase IV, flow cytometry, implantation of PGCs and tumor spheres in NOD/SCID mice, immunoblot, flow/cytomet</td>
<td>Effective, pancreatic tumors express c-Met and its inhibition reduce tumor growth and CSCs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van den Broeck et al.</td>
<td>Human pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>In vivo</td>
<td>Whole-genome expression, analysis by microarray, development of gene signature, n-counter analysis, immunohistochemistry</td>
<td>Effective, PDAC contains a subpopulation of CSCs that is not presented chemoresistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed et al.</td>
<td>Melanoma tissue microarray</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>Immunohistochemistry</td>
<td>CD 271 expression in melanomas is associated with increased frequency of metastases, c-kit is associated with good prognosis and improved outcome</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schatton et al.</td>
<td>Melanoma cells</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>Flow cytometry, RT-PCR, ELISPOT, ELISA</td>
<td>Identification of T cell-modulatory functions of ABCB5+ melanoma SCs subpopulations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aikawa et al.</td>
<td>AML cells</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>Generation of AML mouse models, administration of AP20187, imatinib, or Ki20227, immunofluorescence, immunoprecipitation and imuno blotting</td>
<td>MO2 fusion proteins stimulate PI3-mediated transcription of SF1R. High expression of SF1R can induce AML</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwyer et al.</td>
<td>BM-MSCs</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>Adenoviral infection, detection of NIS expression, PT-PCR, 99mTcO4-imaging of NIS-transfected MSCs, immunohistochemistry, imaging of MSC-NIS engraftment</td>
<td>Effective, in breast cancer MSCs/NIS delivery system decrease tumor growth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grisendi et al.</td>
<td>HeLa, AD-MSCs</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>Isolation of TRAIL cDNA, vector production, and AD-MSC transduction, fluorescence, ELISA, Apoptosis and caspase-8 activation assays, PCR, histochemistry</td>
<td>Effective, MSCs/TRAIL induce apoptosis of cancer cells</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiocca et al.</td>
<td>Glioma cells</td>
<td>In vivo</td>
<td>Injection of AdV-tk after surgical resection, treated with valacyclovir, MGMT analysis, chemoradiation therapy, QOL, PCR, immunohistochemistry</td>
<td>Effective, AdV-TK/valacyclovir in combination with surgery, radiation and chemotherapy with temozolomide, demonstrated a stable or improved quality of life and increase overall survival</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Stem Cells**: Stem cells have the unique potential for self-renewal and differentiation. This is the primary reason for introducing them into medicine to regenerate injured or degenerated organs, to correct congenital disorders, or...
to rejuvenate aging tissues [29-51]. Recent advances in genetic engineering and stem cell research have created the foundations for genetic engineering of stem cells as the vectors for delivery of therapeutic transgenes.

Specifically in oncology, the stem cells are genetically engineered to deliver the cell suicide inducing transgenes selectively to the cancer cells. Expression of the transgenes kills the cancer cells, while leaving healthy cells unaffected [52-63]. Herein, we present various strategies to bioengineer suicide inducing genes and stem cell vectors. Moreover, we review results of the main preclinical studies and clinical trials. However, the main concern for therapeutic use of stem cells is a risk of their cancerous transformation [64-70]. Therefore, we discuss in depth various strategies safeguarding stem cell therapy against iatrogenic cancerogenesis.

Stem cells are defined as undifferentiated cells that have the capacities of self-renewing and differentiation into specialized cell types and tissues [29-51]. These cells can be classified according their potency to differentiate into: unipotent stem cells that can produce only one cell type, multipotent cells able to form all cells of one particular lineage, pluripotent stem cells capable to differentiate into any of the embryonic germ layers and totipotent cells that can give rise to an entire organism. In general, stem cells are classified as embryonic stem cells (ESCs) and adult (ASCs) or non embryonic stem cells [32-36].

Embryonic stem cells

Embryonic stem cells feature totipotency [32-33]. This ability is retained in mammals by the zygote and up to at least 4-cell stage embryos. The embryonic stem cells are derived from the inner cell masses of blastocysts. They have ability to proliferate in an undifferentiated state through multiple passages in culture, as well as to generate any cell of the body. However, the use of ECSs has generated legal, ethical, scientific, and religious opposition, because these cells can only be obtained from human embryos [39].

Adult stem cells

Adult stem cells (ACSs) are self-renewing, multipotent cells obtained from adult tissues. They can be further classified as hemopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and mesenchymal or non-hemopoietic stem cells, stromal, or mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), based on their origin [35-39]. Bone marrow contains a heterogeneous population of stem cells (BMMSCs). Hemopoietic stem cells are derived from bone marrow, peripheral blood, or umbilical cord blood and are capable to differentiate into all blood cells, dendritic cells, lymphocytes and macrophages, so are responsible for the blood renewal each and every day. MSCs are of mesodermal origin and are present in a large number of tissues such as bone marrow, liver, skin, dental pulp, adipose tissue, brain, skeletal muscle. Bone marrow stem cells are able to differentiate into different lineages such as chondrocytes, adipose cells, osteoblasts and muscle cells [12-21].

Human pluripotent induced stem cells

To the repertoire of the natural stem cell therapeutics, human pluripotent induced stem cells (hipSCs) have been added [30-39]. They are generated by introducing vectors carrying coding sequences for the transcription factors or the transcription factors themselves, which re-program the adult fully differentiated cells into the undifferentiated state. These induced cells open new therapeutic opportunities, which are practically the same as those of human embryonic stem cells, but without ethical and scientific concerns. In particular, reprogramming the patients’ own cells results in creating human autologous pluripotent induced stem cells (hapiSCs), which eliminate the risks of immune response or the need for immunosuppression.

Trans-differentiated cells

The spectrum of cell therapeutics has been further expanded through directed trans-differentiation of the differentiated cells into the differentiated cells of a different type [71-83]. This is accomplished without the step of reprogramming of the adult stem cells into the undifferentiated cells as outlined above. This novel biotechnology is of paramount importance for bioengineering of the cells with special therapeutic tasks, as they are being recognized by the patients’ immune system as their own cells. These tasks may include guiding the bioengineered stem cells towards selected receptors on cancer cells and delivering suicide genes. This novel technology opens new routes for reprogramming of the patients’ own cells into the therapeutic genes’ carrying vectors.

Sources and therapeutic applications of stem cells

Because of their unique characteristics, self-renewing and multilineage differentiation, stem cells are promising candidates for potential therapeutic uses in regenerative medicine, pharmacogenomics, and bioengineering [29-53]. Ability of MCSs to differentiate into osteoblasts or chondrocytes, has generated considerable interest in using these cells as potential treatment in patients with bone or cartilage disorders through MSCs transplantation. Stem cells ability to differentiate from one type of a tissue lineage into another, carries a great promise for the treatment of a variety of diseases such as cardiovascular disease and heart failure, stroke, Parkinson’s and Huntington disease, diabetes, liver diseases such as cirrhosis to name only a few. Their ability to modulate immune response and their immunosuppressive effect onto B and T lymphocytes proliferation, further expanded possible use of these cells for the treatment of autoimmune diseases, rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, systemic sclerosis and autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Stem cells can be also obtained from dental tissues and may be isolated from different parts of the teeth, apical papilla, dental follicle, human exfoliated deciduous teeth, dental pulp and periodontal ligament. Stem cell research in dentistry focuses on the regeneration of periodontal ligament, regeneration of coronal dentine, pulp and salivary gland after radiation therapy and repair of craniofacial defects.
Gene therapy can be administered directly to patients with eradication of the cancer cells. Furthermore, gene therapy is more efficient and with fewer side effects than chemotherapy or radiotherapy due to selective gene therapy has been tried in several types of cancers including those of brain, head and neck, ovary, breast, lung, pancreas, colon, blood, and skin. The use of suicide gene therapy of cancer \[27, 28\]. Suicide gene approaches is based on introduction into tumor cells of genes capable for converting a non-toxic pro-drug into a cytotoxic agent. Among this type of suicide systems, the most studied are: (1) thymidine kinase gene (HSV-TK) of herpes simplex virus in combination with Ganciclovir used as a pro-drug and (2) cytosine deaminase (DC) gene of Escherichia coli in combination with 5-flurocytosine (5-FC). These genes utilize different delivery systems, like viral or non-viral vectors, bacteria, parasites and cell based systems including stem cells. The use of stem cells, as possible vectors, has been investigated due to their easy expansion and advances in genetic engineering. Additional attractive feature is immuno-privileged status of autologous stem cells, as indicated by expression of the major histocompatibility complex 1 (MHC1), but not MHC2, clusters of differentiation 40, 80, and 86. As such, these cells can be used in immuno-competent patients, including those with cancers, while without complications presented from immuno-modulation, with better therapeutic efficacy, and significantly improved safety.

Many treatments result in cells’ death in vitro. The main challenge for practicing clinicians is not to cross the thin line between eradicating cancer cells in vivo, in the patients’ bodies, but not harming these patients’ healthy cells. This is a particularly tough challenge in advanced cancers, which metastasized to multiple and distant locations of the patients’ bodies. These advanced stages are beyond the therapeutic arsenal of local surgery, but require systemic therapies associated with horrendous side effects. In this realm, there is great promise in genetic engineering of stem cells, so that they are compatible with the patient’s immune system, are guided to the specific tumor, and deliver the therapeutic transgenes into cancer cells, while inducing their death (Figure 1).

However, the recognized risk of stem cell therapy is their cancerogenic potential [64-70]. Therefore, implementing all measures preventing cancerogenic transformation is the stringent sine qua non condition for introducing stem cell therapy into clinical trials.

Review of therapeutic stem cells guided strategies in preclinical and clinical trials

We have recently reviewed current strategies of cancer suicide gene therapy of cancer [27, 28]. Suicide gene therapy has been tried in several types of cancers including those of brain, head and neck, ovari, breast, lung, pancreas, colon, blood, and skin. The use of suicide gene therapy is more efficient and with fewer side effects than chemotherapy or radiotherapy due to selective eradication of the cancer cells. Furthermore, gene therapy aims at blocking specific pathways, growth factors or enzymes that are involved in the carcinogenesis, the tumor growth and cell proliferation. This therapeutic strategy targets the cancers cells directly, while limiting the effects upon the healthy cells and reducing adverse events of systemic chemo- and radiation therapies, which currently remain the cornerstones of cancer treatment. A new frontier in therapy of targeted therapy of cancer is rapidly developing with the stem cells as the vectors delivering therapeutics to the targeted cancer cells. Herein, we review most advanced preclinical studies and clinical trials pursued in this new promising therapeutic frontier:

**Glioblastomas**

Glioblastomas remain one of the most frequent intracranial tumors with poor prognosis and short survival. Current treatment strategies include surgical resection followed by concomitant chemotherapy and radiation. It is believed that the main contributor to the tumors’ relapses is presence cancer stem cells. On the other hand, stem cells demonstrate natural tropism towards cancerous tissues. This ability was utilized for delivering suicide inducing genes into glioblastomas [57-63]. Use of stem cells as vehicles delivering suicide genes into the brain cancer cells therapy is the most recent and promising therapeutic approach for brain tumors. This is possible due to their unique characteristics of tumor tropism, immunostimulation, tumor infiltration through blood brain barrier. To date, the stem cells were bioengineered with doubled suicide genes: cytosine deaminase (CD) and tyrosine kinase (TK). It was demonstrated that the cells carrying amplified transgenes ensured better treatment results than those bioengineered with single suicide
The use of double pro-drug enzymes enhanced tumor eradication and offered major safety. Other studies have evaluated mesenchymal cells (MSCs), which were genetically engineered to express cytokines such as IL-2, IL-18, IL-12 and INF-γ. This resulted in increasing the tumors’ immune response. Human MSCs were also engineered in order to express cytokine deaminase: uracil phosphoribosyltransferase (CD:UPRT). In combination with 5-FC, these have shown post operative inhibition of tumor growth in animal models. In other studies, PI103 - systemic PI3K/mTOR inhibitor was combined with stem cells’ delivered tumor necrosis factor related apoptosis inducing ligand (S-TRAIL). This combination inhibited of tumor growth in mouse models.

As the most encouraging development, the FDA recently approved the first pilot study using CD/5-FC and stem cells as the delivery system. The results of this clinical trial will help to evaluate effectiveness and safety of this method.

Overall, bioengineered stem cells used as therapeutic genes’ delivery vectors into glioblastomas, resulted in encouraging results in preclinical studies. Therefore, they appear to be a promising strategy for treatment of brain tumors.

Cancers of head and neck
Recurrence and distant metastasis remain critical problem for the treatment of squamous cell carcinomas of head and neck [84-86]. Genetically modified stem cells, may be used as potential targeted treatment. Human stem cells, which were genetically engineered to express cytokine deaminase (HB1.F3-CD) have been used as a delivery system, in combination with 5-FC in vitro and in vivo studies. They resulted in inhibition of tumor growth when used combined with systemic administration of 5-FC. In laryngeal carcinoma, combined gene therapy of CD/5-FC and TNF-α in hep-2 cell line, have inhibited the tumor cell growth and induced antitumor immune response in animal models. As such, stem cells guided gene therapy is a good candidate for streamlining into clinical trials.

Cancers of the ovaries
Cancers of the ovaries are the most lethal gynecological cancers. Almost 63% of them are diagnosed in advanced stages, which require systemic therapies. These cancers progress into the abdominal cavity without giving specific symptoms. Therefore, by the time of their detection, they are already spread too far for a local surgical resection. Recent studies focus on a possible use of stem cell based therapy [87-91]. Human placenta derived MSCs (hpMSCs) are promising candidates for stem cell therapy due to their ability of homing in tumor sites and modulating the immune response. They have been genetically engineered in order to deliver endostatin - an inhibitor of endothelial cell migration and proliferation; thus inhibition of tumor’s angiogenesis. The in vitro and in vivo studies confirmed the homing effect of hpMSCs expressing endostatin in the tumor site, the inhibition of tumor neoangiogenesis, and cell proliferation. Those effects led to starving the neoplasms and reducing their growth. In other studies, genetically engineered stem cells (GESTECs) that expressed carboxyl esterase (CE), were engineered to migrate towards ovarian cancers and to deliver therapeutics. These cells induced inhibition of tumor cell proliferation. Over-expression and mutations in the gene coding epidermal growth factor receptor offer a great target for genetically engineered stem cells delivering apoptosis inducing transgenes [89]. Following this targeting approach, genetically engineered stem cells could deliver HSV-TK to EGFR over-expressing cells to eradicate cancer cells through well-defined mechanisms.

Breast cancer
Breast cancer is the most common cancer in females. Endocrine therapy in estrogen receptor α (ERα) positive tumors is largely used with satisfying results, while preventing from development of resistance. Recent studies have shown that Sox2, one of the transcription factors essential for maintaining pluripotency of stem cells, is responsible for resistance to Tamoxifen [92-93]. Sox2 is over-expressed in breast tumor cells through activation of Wnt signaling pathway. In that case, Sox2 is used as an indicator of resistance to treatment. Furthermore, discovery of Wnt pathway inhibitors can lead to new treatment strategies. These encouraging approaches can further be enhanced by recent results obtained by introducing stem cell guided gene therapy [50-52]. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) were used as a vehicle for delivery of the sodium iodine symporter with a significant decrease of tumor growth. MSCs were also used as a vehicle for the delivery of TRAIL (MSC-TRAIL) to induce apoptosis of tumor cells but without toxicity in normal tissues such as in the liver. This therapy represents a promising approach for treatment breast cancer.

Lung cancer
Lung cancer is one of the leading causes of death. Despite successes of platinum-based chemotherapy, there was no major change in rates of long term survival [94-97]. Gene for receptor for tyrosine kinase (ROS1) was recently reported as involved in chromosomal translocations in lung cancer. Patients suffering from non-small cell lung carcinomas (NSCLC) with ROS1 rearrangements appeared to be sensitive to ALK inhibitor Crizotinib. Growing evidence accumulates for cancer stem cells (CSCs) as the source of cancer malignancy, which is associated with poor differentiation, lymph node metastases, and poor prognosis. In NSCLC, subpopulation of CSCs expressing CD133 was identified. The subpopulation of lung cancer stem cells A549, with high expression of CD133, CD44 and ALDH1, strongly responded to Casticin, that preferentially suppress CSCs proliferation, making it a possible therapeutic for NSCLC.
Different vectors systems have been used to deliver therapeutic genes. Among non-viral vectors, polyethileneimine (PEI) is the most widely used as a gene carrier, due to its low cytotoxicity and high transfection efficacy. Polyspermine based on spermine and polyethylene glycol (PEG) diacrylate (SPE-alt-PEG) synthesized gene carrier for lung cancer is another synthetic vector with low cytotoxicity, high transfection efficacy, and biocompatibility. SPE-alt-PEG/GFP complex have successfully transferred by aerosol into the lungs. Furthermore, the therapeutic effect of SPE-alt-PEG was confirmed by using Pdcd4 gene as therapeutic gene, decreasing that way the tumor's size and showing that SPE-alt-PEG is a safe gene carrier for in vivo applications. Many lung cancers express mutations deletion gene for epidermal growth factor receptor variant III (EGFRvIII). It is the unique receptor, which distinguishes cancer cells from healthy cells. Therefore, it becomes a desired target for genetically engineered stem cells serving as vectors delivering the suicide inducing genes into the lung cancer cells displaying that mutated receptor.

Colon cancer
Colon cancer represents one of the most common cancers in Western countries. Studies implicated MSCs in tumor pathogenesis, growth, and metastasis. These cells express Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) [97-100]. On the other hand, PDGF signaling pathways are determined for the survival and migration of MSCs in colon cancer: Studies in mice have shown that Imatinib - PDGFR inhibitor, could decrease tumor growth, angiogenesis and metastatic effect of MSCs. Drugs that target to MSCs could be a future treatment for colon cancer. Recently, it was also shown that MSCs, particularly the CD133 subpopulation, are responsible for resistance to anti-angiogenesis treatment, through the activation of Hsp27, MAPKAPK2, p38MAPK and PP2A anti-apoptotic signaling pathways. Targeting these pathways and suppressing the CSCs' activation, may lead to development of new treatment methods in colorectal cancer. Genetic engineering of the stem cells, so that they deliver endostatin - an inhibitor of endothelial cell migration and proliferation; thus inhibition of tumor's angiogenesis, may become a venue to break these cancers' therapeutic resistance.

Pancreatic cancer
Pancreatic cancer is one of the deadliest neoplasms. It is very difficult to diagnose as it progresses silently without any specific symptoms, thus is mostly diagnosed in very advanced stages. Communicating diagnosis is most cases equivalent to prognosis of a few months survival. Is it also very difficult to cure. This features are strong drivers of exploring the stem cells guided therapy [101-107]. The main problem, especially in cases of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), is the resistance of pancreatic cells to conventional therapy. The pancreatic cancer stem cells (CSCs) are suggested as contributors to tumor growth and metastasis. They are often associated with epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT), which leads to cells of similar characteristics with CSCs. Recently, it was shown that pancreatic cancer CD44+/CD24+/ESA+ cell line has stem cells' properties, characterized by self-renewal and differentiation, while also present high tumorigenicity. The expression of CD133+, CXCR4, SOX2 and c-Met have been also been correlated to tumorigenicity and chemoresistance. The Hedgehog, Notch, Wnt signaling pathways are important in cancerogenesis of pancreatic cells and their deregulation such alterations in NF-κB, Akt, TGF-β and miRNA- regulated pathways are very critical for the differentiation, self-renewal and tumorigenesis of pancreatic CSCs. A side population of cells has been identified in PDAC, which is expressing multidrug transporter - ABCB1; thus is capable for expulsion of therapeutics. This leads to developing of develop chemoresistance. Definition of pancreatic cancers' biomarkers and exposing mechanisms of resistance opened new routes for new strategies for targeting therapy, which also include genetic engineering of therapeutic stem cells.

Melanoma
Melanoma is one of the most aggressive tumors [108-113]. The major problem for enforcing effective therapy remains drug resistance. Over the last few years, there is a growing interest for the melanoma cancer stem cells (CSCs) and possible alternative ways of prognosis and treatment of this disease. Studies have shown that the melanoma CSCs express surface markers such as ABCB5, CD271, ALDH, SOX10, c-kit, that can play a role in treatment and prognosis. More specifically, CD271 expression is correlated with increased metastatic ability and poor prognosis. Expression of ABCB5 is strongly correlated with disease progression. Stem cells ABCB5+, present lower expression of melanoma antigen recognized by T cells-1 (MART-1); thus are harder to kill be natural immune response or immunotherapy. Similar expression of tumor antigens: MAGE-A, BIRC7/ML-IAP, NY-ESO-1, MHC class 1, inhibition of the production of IL-2, and preferential expression of B7.2, may inhibit antitumor immunity. Future melanoma treatment approaches should consider these biomarkers as possible therapeutic targets for genetically engineered therapeutic stem cells.

Acute myeloid leukemia
Studies on acute myeloid leukemias (AMLs) with high incidence of relapses led to detection of cell subpopulations with stem cell-like properties: leukemic stem cells (LSCs) [114-118]. These cells demonstrated resistance to conventional therapies. In several studies, investigators proposed that CD34 and CD38 positive immunophenotypes of LSCs are correlated with lower median survival and with poor outcomes of AML. Different other biomarkers are also associated with the LSCs: CD25, CD71, CD123, HLA- and CLL-1. Among them, CD25+ is correlated to early treatments’ failure. These molecules may be used as prognostic biomarkers, but also as targets
for personalized therapies. Specifically, it was shown in animal models that therapeutic targeting of CD123+ in AML cell line led to elimination of LSCs. Signaling pathway for transcription complex NF-κB is another therapeutic target. It is important for proliferation and survival of the LSCs. It can also be involved in mediating drug resistance. Recent studies focus on targeting this pathway with different agents like Bortezomib - an inhibitor used in combination with other agents. Data from the recent study in mice has suggested that eradication of LSCs could be considered for further tests as a therapeutic approach. The monocytic leukemia zinc finger gene (MOZ) fusion proteins stimulate PU1-mediated transcription of macrophage colony stimulating factor receptor 1 (CSF1R). High expression of CSF1R can induce AML, thus a possible therapeutic approach would be apoptosis of CSF1R cells. Identification of the aforementioned biomarkers opens the new perspectives for engineering of the stem cells, which may target these biomarkers and deliver the deadly cargo of suicide inducing genes into the cancer cells.

**Safeguarding stem cell therapy against iatrogenic cancerogenesis**

“Primum non nocere” is our ultimate creed. Safeguarding stem cell therapy against cancerogenesis should be the primary consideration in designing any therapeutic strategy. Main approaches of such safeguarding currently explored include negative selection or selective killing of potentially cancerogenic stem cells [69,119-126].

First approach relies upon biomarkers, which are specifically expressed on stem cells. Identification of podocalyxin-like protein-1 on surfaces of embryonic stem cells promoted depletion of these cells to reduce the risks of teratomas [119]. Stage specific embryonic antigen 5 (SSEA-5) was identified specifically on human pluripotent stem cells. Monoclonal antibody raised against SSEA-5 was used to deplete the cells expressing this antigen and resulted in reduced numbers of forming teratomas [120]. Claudin 6 is a protein contributing to formation of tight and was identified on stem cells [120]. Anti-claudin-6 antibodies modified with toxins were effective in killing the targeted stem cells. So were the other antibodies followed by toxins [122-123].

In an alternative approach for eliminating stem cells with neoplastic potential, eradication of the selected cells was accomplished by inhibitor of the olate synthesis [124]. Stem cells were genetically engineered to express herpes virus thymidine kinase (HSV-TK). Thus, they became sensitive to and eliminated by Ganciclovir at the doses far lower than those toxic to the healthy cells [125]. Selectivity of this approach was improved by genetic engineering of truncated herpes simplex virus delta thymidine kinase (ΔTK) gene under control of EF1-α or NANOG promoters [126]. This insertion of ΔTK gene did not affect pluripotency of the cells, but rendered them sensitive to ganciclovir. This also created an opportunity to eliminate stem cells expressing genes sustaining pluripotency by supplying Ganciclovir, to which the transduced stem cells were more sensitive than were differentiated cells. Finally, in the most direct approach, selective elimination of proliferating and directed-differentiation-resistant stem cells was attained by inducible expression of transgenes for DNases controlled by POLA promoter [69]. In this strategy, after providing factors to induce differentiation of the stem cells, the cells, which would resist to directed differentiation and keep proliferating, would express human recombinant DNases executing these stem cells’ death.

**Perspectives**

Three most current topics of oncology carry great promise for effective cancer therapies in the near future: defining cancer biomarkers to facilitate early diagnosis, research on cancer heterogeneity and personal genomics with modern tools of next generation sequencing to target specific molecules in cancers, and addressing patients’ gene expression profiles to consider them for crafting personalized and targeted therapies.

**Cancer biomarkers for early diagnosis**

One of the most effective ways to cure cancer is to capture it early. An excellent exemplification of this approach are the statistics reporting 19% five year survival of women diagnosed with ovarian cancer at the advanced stages, but 69% five year survival of women with the cancer diagnosed at the early stages 1 [3]. Cancer captured early can be efficiently treated with the local surgery. On the other hand, invasive and metastatic tumors present poor prognosis and require systemic therapies with horrendous side effects. Hence, a major effort goes toward defining cancer biomarkers and developing screening methods. These include works on circulating tumor cells, free circulating biomarkers, and free circulating DNA. Those works are complemented by refining sensitive methods of molecular imaging. The molecular diagnoses generated by those both approaches should pave the ways for therapies delivered precisely to the targeted cancer cells by bioengineered stem cells.

**Targeted therapies addressing cancers’ heterogeneities**

All cancers consist of very heterogeneous populations of cancer cells’ clones. Some of these clones respond well to standard therapeutic regimes, but other clones do not respond or develop resistance to those regimes. Cancer stem cells seem to play significant role. Those phenomena lead to clonogenic survival and tumors’ growth propelled by resistant clones. Therefore, analysis of the complete spectrum of all the clones driving cancerous tumors’ growth, while considering that the spectra of these clones may change during or as the result of therapeutic regimes, are really necessary for planning effective therapies [89]. Advances in genomics and proteomics should help to identify these spectra. This would also include the targets for stem cells, which would be delivering cargo of therapeutic genes.
Genomic medicine, pharmacogenomics, and personalized therapy
It is also very important to consider that every patient is different. Susceptibility to diseases and ability to defend against the diseases are the outcomes of the person’s genetic makeup. This is the foundation for genomic medicine built around human genome. So is responsiveness to therapeutics. This is the core of pharmacogenomics. Rapid advances towards next generation sequencing of genomes and transcriptomes should help us in defining those differences and crafting therapies adjusted according to the patient’s genomic profile and to the cancer’s molecular profile. Designing and bioengineering of the stem cell guided therapies should play a grand role in these promising endeavors.
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